

Operator product expansion at finite temperature

S. Mallik ¹

Institut für theoretische Physik der Universität Bern, Sidlerstrasse 5, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland

Abstract

We extend an earlier, configuration space method to find the Wilson coefficients of operators appearing in the short distance expansion of *thermal* correlation functions of different quark bilinears. Considering all the different correlation functions, there arise, up to dimension four, two new operators, in addition to the two appearing already in the *vacuum* correlation functions. They would contribute substantially to the QCD sum rules, when the temperature is not too low.

The operator product expansion of two currents at short distance [1] played a key role in the past in writing down the extensively used QCD sum rules [2]. The vacuum matrix elements of the local operators appearing in the expansion were used to parametrize the nonperturbative effects of the QCD theory at low energy. Using dispersion representations for the correlation functions, these sum rules relate the multitude of low energy physical quantities, like the masses and coupling constants of hadrons, to these parameters of theoretical interest.

In extending these sum rules to finite temperature, two sources of complications arise. One is the interaction of the current with the particles of the medium (heat bath), modifying the dispersion representation [3]. The other is the breakdown of Lorentz invariance by the choice of the reference frame, in which the heat bath is at rest at a definite temperature. The residual O(3) invariance allows, in general, more operators of the same dimension in the operator product expansion than at zero temperature [4].

While the effect of the medium was included in the very first paper [3] on thermal QCD sum rules, the non-perturbative contributions due to the additional operators were seriously considered much later [5]. So far only the thermal sum rule for the vector current has been written with these contributions and analysed at low temperature [6]. It still remains to explore the consequences of various sum rules for correlation functions of different quark bilinears. One ingredient necessary to write them is the set of coefficients with which the operators, at least the leading ones, contribute to the different sum rules.

Earlier we employed a configuration space method [7] to calculate the coefficients of gluon operators up to dimension six, which appear in the vacuum expectation value of correlation functions of quark bilinears [8],[9]. It is based on finding the singular solution for the quark propagator in the background field of the gluons. It finds a natural extension to thermal correlation functions. Here we find the coefficients of all operators up to dimension four, appearing in all correlation functions built out of any two quark bilinears. We start with a brief review of this method.

Let $\psi(z)$ be the quark field of a single flavour of mass m , where its SU(3) colour indices are suppressed. Its equation of motion is

$$(-i\not{D} + m)\psi(z) = 0, \quad D_\mu = \partial_\mu - iA_\mu, \quad A_\mu = gA_\mu^i \lambda^i / 2, \quad (1)$$

where $A_\mu(z)$ is the colour gauge field and λ^i are the SU(3) Gell-Mann matrices. Assume, to begin with, that A_μ is a given classical field. Then the time ordered product of the quark fields may be

¹Permanent address : Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, 1/AF, Bidhannagar, Calcutta - 700064, India.

split as,

$$T\psi_a(z)\bar{\psi}_b(y) = -iS_{ab}(z, y) + : \psi_a(z)\bar{\psi}_b(y) :, \quad (2)$$

where a, b are the spinor indices. The first term is a c-number function, containing the short distance singularities of the time ordered product and satisfies

$$(-i\not{D}_z + m)S(z, y) = \delta^4(z - y). \quad (3)$$

The second term is a bilocal operator with finite matrix elements as $z \rightarrow y$ and involves quark dynamics at large distances.

We now outline the method of solving eq.(3). We set² $m = 0$. It is very convenient to choose the Fock-Schwinger gauge [10], $(z - y)^\mu A_\mu(z) = 0$, where the gauge potential $A_\mu(z)$ can be expanded in terms of the field strength and its covariant derivatives at $z = y$. In the following we put $y = 0$ and get,

$$A_\mu(z) = \frac{1}{2}z^\alpha G_{\alpha\mu}(0) + \dots$$

Then the solution will automatically be gauge covariant. We convert (3) into a second order differential equation by setting

$$S(z, 0) = i\not{D}E(z, 0). \quad (4)$$

Then $E(z, 0)$ satisfies

$$(\square - P_\mu \partial_\mu - Q)E(z, 0) = \delta^4(z), \quad (5)$$

where

$$P_\mu(z) = iz^\alpha G_{\alpha\mu} + \dots, \quad Q(z) = \frac{i}{2}\gamma G\gamma + \dots$$

Here and below we use matrix notation, eg., $\gamma G\gamma = \gamma^\mu G_{\mu\nu} \gamma^\nu$. The fundamental or the singular solution to (5) is now given by the Hadamard Ansatz for $E(z, 0)$ ³ [11],

$$E(z, 0) = -\frac{i}{4\pi^2} \left(\frac{1}{z^2} + V(z) \ln(4z^2 \mu^2) + W(z) \right), \quad (6)$$

where $V(z)$ and $W(z)$ are analytic functions of z , regular in the neighbourhood of $z = 0$. Although not singular, $W(z)$ is added to ensure that $E(z, 0)$, by itself, satisfies eq.(5). The factor $4\mu^2$ in the argument of the logarithm represents a mass scale (not related to the quark mass), arbitrary at this stage. Inserting this Ansatz in (5) we get the equations for V and W , which can be readily solved in power series in z_μ .

The solution for $S(z, 0)$ is worked out in ref.(8) up to gauge field strengths of dimension six. Here we reproduce this solution up to dimension four,

$$\begin{aligned} S(z, 0) = & \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left[\not{\partial} \left(\frac{1}{z^2} \right) - \frac{i}{4z^2} \gamma^\mu \not{z} \gamma^\nu G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{24z^2} \not{z} (zG\gamma)^2 \right. \\ & + \left(-\frac{1}{24} zGG\gamma + \frac{1}{16} (zG\gamma)(\gamma G\gamma) + \frac{\not{z}}{32} \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \gamma GG\gamma - \frac{1}{2} (\gamma G\gamma)^2 \right\} \right) \ln(4z^2 \mu^2) \\ & \left. - \frac{\not{z}}{64} \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \gamma GG\gamma - \frac{1}{2} (\gamma G\gamma)^2 \right\} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Again the last term, which is regular, ensures that $S(z, 0)$, by itself, satisfies (3) up to dimension four. Here we have omitted terms involving the gauge field covariant derivatives, as they do not survive the trace over colour in the correlation functions. In this case $S(z, 0)$ satisfies, $S(0, z) = S(-z, 0)$

Once we have found the c-number coefficients multiplying the classical gauge field strengths in $S(z, 0)$, the latter can be considered as gauge field operators of QCD theory. Eqs. (2) and (7)

² Operators linear in m can be easily sorted out later.

³For the time ordered propagator z^2 stands for $z^2 - i\epsilon$

thus constitute the short distance expansion of the two point function of the quark fields. Clearly, higher order corrections to the coefficients due to gluon propagation is beyond the present method.

Consider now the short distance expansion of the time ordered product of two quark bilinear operators,

$$J_1(z) =: \bar{\psi}(z)\Gamma_1\psi(z) :, \quad J_2(z) =: \bar{\psi}(z)\Gamma_2\psi(z) :,$$

where Γ_1 and Γ_2 are any two of the Dirac matrices. Using (2) it can be written as a sum of three types of terms,

$$TJ_1(z)J_2(0) = t_{12}^{(G)} + t_{12}^{(\psi)} + t_{12}^{(reg)},$$

where

$$t_{12}^{(G)} = \text{tr}\Gamma_1 S(z, 0)\Gamma_2 S(0, z), \quad (8)$$

$$t_{12}^{(\psi)} = -i(\Gamma_2 S_m(0, z)\Gamma_1)_{ab} : \bar{\psi}_a(0)\psi_b(z) : -i(\Gamma_1 S_m(z, 0)\Gamma_2)_{ab} : \bar{\psi}_a(z)\psi_b(0) :, \quad (9)$$

$$t_{12}^{(reg)} = : \bar{\psi}(z)\Gamma_1\psi(z)\bar{\psi}(0)\Gamma_2\psi(0) :, \quad (10)$$

where tr indicates trace over both Dirac and colour matrices. In (9) we have included the missing term in $S(z, 0)$, which is linear in the quark mass,

$$S_m(z, 0) = S(z, 0) - \frac{im}{4\pi^2 z^2}.$$

The first term gives the coefficients of the gluon operators. The second term, after expanding $\psi(z)$ in the bilocal operators as,

$$\psi(z) = \psi(0) + z^\mu D_\mu \psi(0) + \dots,$$

gives the coefficients of all quark operators,

$$\begin{aligned} t_{12}^{(\psi)} = & -\frac{1}{2\pi^2(z^2)^2} \left[\{i(\Gamma_2 \not{z}\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_1 \not{z}\Gamma_2)_{ab} + mz^2(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2 + \Gamma_2\Gamma_1)_{ab}/2\} : \bar{\psi}_a\psi_b : \right. \\ & \left. + (\Gamma_2 \not{z}\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_1 \not{z}\Gamma_2)_{ab} z^\mu : \bar{\psi}_a iD_\mu \psi_b : \right] \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The third term is of no interest to us.

Thus $t_{12}^{(G)}$ and $t_{12}^{(\psi)}$ constitute the most general operator product expansion of two quark bilinears, giving the coefficients of all the gluon and quark operators up to dimension four, having various tensor and spinor structures. Clearly at the level of operators there is no reference to temperature. It is in taking the matrix elements of these operators that the difference between the vacuum state and the thermal state shows up. In taking the vacuum expectation value, the different tensor and the spinor operators are projected on to their corresponding Lorentz scalars. The situation is different for the thermal expectation value, which for any operator O is defined as

$$\langle O \rangle_T = \text{Tr} e^{-\beta H} O / \text{Tr} e^{-\beta H},$$

where H is the QCD Hamiltonian, β is the inverse temperature T and the $\text{Tr}(\text{ace})$ is over any complete set of states. Here the choice of the thermal rest frame, where the temperature is defined, breaks the Lorentz invariance and we have to include $O(3)$ invariant operators as well.

It is, however, convenient to restore Lorentz invariance formally in the thermal field theory by introducing the four-vector u^μ , the velocity of the heat bath. [$u^2 = 1$ and $u^\mu = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ in the rest frame of the heat bath.] Thus while at zero temperature scalar operators are formed from tensors by contracting its indices among themselves, at finite temperature additional scalars can be formed by contracting its indices with u^μ .

Consider first the piece $t_{12}^{(G)}$. The Lorentz covariance at finite temperature now allows us to write

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{tr}^c G_{\alpha\beta} G_{\lambda\sigma} \rangle_T = & (g_{\alpha\lambda} g_{\beta\sigma} - g_{\alpha\sigma} g_{\beta\lambda}) A \\ & - (u_\alpha u_\lambda g_{\beta\sigma} - u_\alpha u_\sigma g_{\beta\lambda} - u_\beta u_\lambda g_{\alpha\sigma} + u_\beta u_\sigma g_{\alpha\lambda}) B. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

By contracting indices on both sides, we get

$$A = \frac{1}{24} \langle G_{\alpha\beta}^a G^{a\alpha\beta} \rangle_T + \frac{1}{6} \langle u^\alpha \Theta_{\alpha\beta}^g u^\beta \rangle_T, \quad (13)$$

$$B = \frac{1}{3} \langle u^\alpha \Theta_{\alpha\beta}^g u^\beta \rangle_T, \quad (14)$$

$\Theta_{\alpha\beta}^g$ being the traceless, gluonic part of the stress-tensor of the QCD theory,

$$\Theta_{\alpha\beta}^g = -G_{\alpha\lambda}^a G_{\beta}^{\lambda a} + \frac{1}{4} g_{\alpha\beta} G_{\lambda\sigma}^a G^{\lambda\sigma a}. \quad (15)$$

Since we are interested in the coefficients of operators of dimension four only, we may already use (12) in the expression for $S(z, 0)$, which then simplifies to

$$S(z, 0) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left(\not{\partial} \left(\frac{1}{z^2} \right) - \frac{i}{4z^2} \gamma^\mu \not{z} \gamma^\nu G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{B}{48} (a \not{z} + b \not{\psi}) \right), \quad (16)$$

where

$$a = 1 - \frac{4}{z^2} (u \cdot z)^2 - 2 \ln(4z^2 \mu^2), \quad b = 8u \cdot z \ln(4z^2 \mu^2).$$

Insert this expression for $S(z, 0)$ in $t_{12}^{(G)}$ and use (12) again for the dimension four operators generated in the product. Then it only remains to evaluate the traces over γ -matrices for different correlation functions (specified by Γ_1 and Γ_2) to find the coefficients of the operators in A and B.

Next we turn to the piece $t_{12}^{(\psi)}$. As with the gluon operators, we project the quark operators on to the corresponding scalars. At finite temperature the most general decomposition of the two operators in (11) in spinor space, conserving parity, are given by

$$\langle \bar{\psi}_a \psi_b \rangle_T = \frac{\delta_{ab}}{4} \langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle_T + \frac{(\psi)_{ba}}{4} \langle \bar{\psi} \not{\psi} \psi \rangle_T \quad (17)$$

$$\langle \bar{\psi}_a i D_\mu \psi_b \rangle_T = (\gamma_\mu)_{ba} U + u_\mu (\psi)_{ba} V + u_\mu \delta_{ba} Y + (\psi \gamma_\mu)_{ba} Z \quad (18)$$

where

$$U = \frac{m}{16} \langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle_T - \frac{1}{12} \langle u^\mu \Theta_{\mu\nu}^f u^\nu \rangle_T, \quad V = \frac{1}{3} \langle u^\mu \Theta_{\mu\nu}^f u^\nu \rangle_T, \quad (19)$$

$$Y = \frac{1}{6} \langle \bar{\psi} i u \cdot D \psi \rangle_T + \frac{m}{12} \langle \bar{\psi} \not{\psi} \psi \rangle_T, \quad Z = \frac{1}{12} \langle \bar{\psi} i u \cdot D \psi \rangle_T - \frac{m}{12} \langle \bar{\psi} \not{\psi} \psi \rangle_T \quad (20)$$

and $\Theta_{\mu\nu}^f$ is the traceless, fermionic part of the stress tensor,

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu}^f = \bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu i D_\nu \psi - \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} m \bar{\psi} \psi.$$

Now $\bar{\psi} i u \cdot D \psi$ can be reduced to $m \bar{\psi} \not{\psi} \psi$ and a total derivative. Further $\langle \bar{\psi} \not{\psi} \psi \rangle_T = 0$, for zero chemical potential and we shall not consider it any further. We are thus left with only $\langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle_T$ in (17) and U and V in (18). Inserting these decompositions in $t_{12}^{(\psi)}$ and evaluating the traces over the γ -matrices, we can get the coefficients of these operators.

We have thus reduced the calculation of the Wilson coefficients of operators appearing in the expansion of the product of any two quark bilinears to evaluating traces over γ -matrices. These coefficients have to be Fourier transformed to momentum space for use in the QCD sum rules. Here we only state this result for the correlation function of vector currents ($\Gamma_1 = \gamma_\mu, \Gamma_2 = \gamma_\nu$). It can be expressed through two invariant amplitudes, T_1 and T_2 , say, which are functions of $\omega = u \cdot q$ and q^2 ,

$$i \int d^4 z e^{iq \cdot z} \langle T J_\mu(z) J_\nu(0) \rangle_T = \left(-\eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{q_\mu q_\nu}{q^2} \right) T_1(\omega, q^2) + \left(u_\mu - \frac{\omega q_\mu}{q^2} \right) \left(u_\nu - \frac{\omega q_\nu}{q^2} \right) T_2(\omega, q^2) \quad (21)$$

Then we find ($Q^2 = -q^2$),

$$T_1 = \frac{2m}{q^2} \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle_T + \frac{g^2}{48\pi^2 q^2} \langle G_{\mu\nu}^a G^{\mu\nu a} \rangle_T - \frac{8}{3q^2} \left(1 - \frac{2\omega^2}{q^2}\right) \langle u\Theta^f u \rangle_T - \frac{g^2}{9\pi^2 q^2} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{2\omega^2}{q^2}\right) (\ln(Q^2/\mu^2) + 2\gamma) - \frac{5}{4} + \frac{7\omega^2}{2q^2} \right\} \langle u\Theta^g u \rangle_T, \quad (22)$$

$$T_2 = -\frac{16}{3q^2} \langle u\Theta^f u \rangle_T - \frac{2g^2}{9\pi^2 q^2} \left(\ln(Q^2/\mu^2) + 2\gamma - \frac{3}{4} \right) \langle u\Theta^g u \rangle_T, \quad (23)$$

where γ is Euler constant.

The large q^2 behaviour of the Wilson coefficients can be improved by the renormalisation group equation. It effectively shifts the renormalisation scale of these coefficients from μ to $\sqrt{Q^2}$. The operators $g^2 G_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}$ and $m\bar{\psi}\psi$ and hence their coefficients are invariant under this change of scale. But it mixes the operators $\Theta_{\mu\nu}^f$ and $\Theta_{\mu\nu}^g$, a situation familiar from the analysis of deep inelastic scattering [12]. Let us write the contributions of Θ^f and Θ^g to either T_1 or T_2 , for short, as

$$C_f n_f \Theta^f|_\mu + C_g \Theta^g|_\mu$$

where the coefficients $C_{f,g} [\equiv C_{f,g}(\log(Q^2/\mu^2), g(\mu^2), \omega^2/q^2)]$ can be read off from (22, 23) and n_f is the number of flavours. The coefficients satisfy the coupled renormalisation group equations with an anomalous dimension matrix [13]. Diagonalising this matrix, we see that the combination of the coefficients $(n_f C_f + \frac{16}{3} C_g)$, corresponding to the total energy-momentum tensor operator $(n_f \Theta^f + \Theta^g)$, has zero anomalous dimension, while the combination $(C_f - C_g)$, corresponding to the operator $n_f(\frac{16}{3} \Theta^f - \Theta^g)$ has anomalous dimension, $-\frac{g^2}{(4\pi)^2} d$, with $d = \frac{4}{3}(\frac{16}{3} + n_f)$. Thus

$$C_f n_f \Theta^f|_\mu + C_g \Theta^g|_\mu = \frac{1}{\frac{16}{3} + n_f} \left\{ (n_f \bar{C}_f + \frac{16}{3} \bar{C}_g) (n_f \Theta^f + \Theta^g) + a n_f (\bar{C}_f - \bar{C}_g) (\frac{16}{3} \Theta^f - \Theta^g)|_\mu \right\}, \quad (24)$$

where $\bar{C}_{f,g} = \bar{C}_{f,g}(1, g^2(Q^2))$ and $a = \{(g^2(\mu^2)/g^2(Q^2))\}^{-d/2b}$, $b = 11 - 2n_f/3$. Thus ignoring g^2 -corrections (which for C_f is not calculable anyway by the present method), the stress tensor contributes to T_1 , say, as⁴,

$$T_1 \rightarrow -\frac{8}{(16 + 3n_f)q^2} (1 - 2\omega^2/q^2) \{ \epsilon + a(16\epsilon_f/3 - \epsilon_g)|_\mu \}, \quad (25)$$

where we use notation for the rest frame of the heat bath, $\epsilon = n_f \epsilon_f + \epsilon_g$, with ϵ_f and ϵ_g being the energy densities of quarks and gluons respectively.

Finally let us list the set of thermally averaged operators contributing to the thermal QCD sum rules. Considering all the different correlation functions and restricting to operators up to dimension four, there are two new operators, namely, $\epsilon = (n_f \epsilon_f + \epsilon_g)$ and $(16\epsilon_f/3 - \epsilon_g)$. Two condensates in the vacuum sum rules, now appearing as thermal averages, viz, $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle_T$ and $\langle G_{\mu\nu}^a G^{\mu\nu a} \rangle_T$, have their temperature dependence given by [14],

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle_T &= \langle 0 | \bar{\psi}\psi | 0 \rangle - \frac{\partial P}{\partial m}, \\ \langle \frac{g^2}{4\pi^2} G_{\mu\nu}^a G^{\mu\nu a} \rangle_T &= \langle 0 | \frac{g^2}{4\pi^2} G_{\mu\nu}^a G^{\mu\nu a} | 0 \rangle - \frac{8}{b} (\epsilon - 3P), \end{aligned}$$

where P is the pressure. In the hadronic phase they are known from chiral perturbation theory [15], [16] and at high enough temperature from ordinary QCD perturbation theory. Thus only one

⁴Our result does not agree with the one stated by the authors of the second paper in ref.(6), because they work in the deep inelastic limit and do not use the renormalisation group equation.

condensate, viz, $(16\epsilon_f/3 - \epsilon_g)$ cannot be readily evaluated. It may be obtained from one of the sum rules themselves. It should be noted that though the contributions of the new operators would be small for small enough temperature, they would, in general, dominate over the condensates in the QCD sum rules in the neighbourhood of the phase transition, where the latter ones begin to 'melt' away.

I have much pleasure to thank H. Leutwyler for many helpful discussions. I also thank B.L. Ioffe for bringing the references (6) to my attention. I gratefully acknowledge the hospitality at the University of Bern, where this work was carried out.

References

- [1] K.G. Wilson, Phys. Rev. 179(1969)171.
- [2] M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein and V.I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys.B147 (1979) 385 and 448. For original papers and comments, see Vacuum Structure and QCD Sum Rules (Ed. M.A. Shifman), North Holland, 1992. See also QCD Spectral Sum Rules (Ed. S. Narison), World Scientific, 1988)
- [3] A.I. Bochkarev and M.E. Shaposhnikov, Nucl.Phys.B268 (1986) 220
- [4] E.V. Shuryak, Rev. Mod. Phys. 65 (1993) 1.
- [5] T. Hatsuda, Y. Koike and S.H. Lee, Nucl. Phys. B394 (1993) 221.
- [6] V.L. Eletsky, P.J. Ellis and J.I. Kapusta, Phys. Rev. D47 (1993) 4084; V.L. Eletsky and B.L. Ioffe, Phys. Rev. D51 (1995) 2371.
- [7] H. Fritzsch and H. Leutwyler, Phys.Rev. D10 (1974) 1624.
- [8] W. Hubschmid and S. Mallik, Nucl.Phys. B207 (1982) 29.
- [9] For a similar approach see A.V. Smilga, Sov.J.Nucl.Phys. 35(2) (1982) 271; E.V. Shuryak and A.I. Vainshtein, Nucl.Phys.B201 (1982) 141; T.M. Aliev and M.A. Shifman, Phys.Lett.112B (1982) 401.
- [10] J. Schwinger, Particles, Sources and Fields, volume I, p. 271 (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1970); C. Cronstrom, Phys.Lett. 90B (1980) 267
- [11] R. Courant and D. Hilbert, Methoden der Mathematischen Physik II (Springer Verlag, 1968) p.434.
- [12] H.D. Politzer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 30 (1973) 1346; D.J. Gross and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. D9 (1974) 980.
- [13] See, for example, M.E. Peskin and D.V. Schroeder, An Introduction to Quantum Field theory, (Addison-Wesley, 1995)
- [14] H. Leutwyler, Phys.Lett. B284 (1992) 106.
- [15] P. Gerber and H. Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. B321 (1989) 387.
- [16] E. Bangerter, The temperature dependence of the trace anomaly in the hadronic phase of QCD, Diploma work, University of Berne, 1996 (unpublished).